Patients with Blunt Traumatic Spine Injuries with Neurological Deficits Presenting to an Urban Tertiary Care Centre in Mumbai: An Epidemiological Study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Traumatic spine injuries are on the rise. The literature is sparse regarding epidemiology of patients with traumatic spine injuries from this part of the world.

Objectives: To analyse the following in patients with traumatic spine injuries with neurological deficits: demographic and social profile, common modes of injury, pre-hospitalisation practices, region of spine affected, severity of neurological deficit and the lay individuals' awareness about traumatic spine injuries.

Methods: The study sample comprised 52 adult patients with traumatic spine injuries with neurological deficits. We collected data on demographic and social characteristics, mode of injury, pre-hospitalisation treatment, interval between injury and presentation, spine region affected and severity of neurological deficits and patient's knowledge about traumatic spine injuries.

Results: The average patient age was 31.32y. The male: female ratio was 2.25:1, and the most common modes of injury were fall from height, followed by traffic accident. More than half of the patients suffered cervical spine injuries, followed by dorsolumbar spine injuries. Only 9.61% of patients received pre-hospitalisation treatment. All patients understood there could be complete functional recovery after treatment for traumatic spine injuries.

Conclusion: There is a growing need to improve railway and roadway safety equipment and to make it accessible and affordable to the susceptible economically weaker population. Attempts should be made to increase awareness regarding traumatic spine injuries.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of traumatic spinal cord injuries has increased considerably over the past three decades1. These injuries occur as the result of road traffic accidents, fall of heavy objects, falls from height, falls into wells and construction sites2. The focus in the literature has often been on the orthopaedic management of such patients with little attention given to the epidemiology of patients presenting with neurological deficit to a tertiary care centre. In this case, epidemiological study includes attention to common modes of injury, demographic profiles of patients, social background of patients, region of spine affected, severity of injury and the lay individuals' knowledge about traumatic spinal cord injuries. Exploration of these factors will reveal some important facts to help us identify the susceptible population groups and to take preventive measures. Increasing our knowledge of these existing gaps in the literature will help educate the lay population, health care personnel and industries (i.e., mass transit, railroads), alike about what measures should be taken to prevent, and primarily manage these patients with traumatic spine injuries.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

1. To identify the demographic and social profile of patients with traumatic spine injuries with neurologic deficits.
2. To identify the common modes of traumatic spine injuries.
3. To identify common pre-hospitalisation on the field pre-hospitalisation practices for first aid traumatic spine injuries.
4. To identify the location of the spinal injury and the severity of the neurological deficits.
5. To assess the level of awareness of the lay public about traumatic spine injuries with neurologic deficits in terms of severity of injury, objectives of treatment and prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After obtaining an institutional ethics committee approval and written informed consent, we recruited 52 adult patients with blunt traumatic spine injuries with neurological deficits, who were admitted to the orthopaedic trauma ward of this urban tertiary care centre over a 1½ year period in 2010-
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RESULTS
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DISCUSSION
Very little literature is available on the epidemiology of
traumatic spine injuries in developing countries8. The
average age of patients in our study, 31.32 years, was
comparable to the existing literature in the Asian
subcontinent and other developing countries9,10. Although
recent papers report the predisposition of the elderly to
traumatic spine injuries11, we did not have many elderly
patients either because these cases did not present to our
centre or due to an absence of neurological deficit in
osteooporotic fractures following low energy falls. The male:
female ratio in the present study was 2.25:1, lower than that
of Chacko et al. in 1986 (male female ratio, 13.5:1)12 and
Singh R et al. in 2001 (male female ratio, 2.96:1)13, but
comparable to recent reports from other developing
countries14. The decreasing male to female ratio depicts the
changing social trends with more women joining their male
counterparts in outdoor activities.

Our findings of the percentage who fell from a height is
comparable to a report by Leucht P et al.15. Among the
patients who fall from height, a large proportion were falls
from an overhead loft. The city of Mumbai is densely
populated with growing number of slums. Shanties in these
impoverished neighbourhoods are poorly built, many with
insubstantial lofts built for want of space. People are forced
to live in such hazardous circumstances as they cannot afford

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Complete paralysis below the neurological level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Only sensations present below neurological level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Incomplete motor function present below neurological level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Fair to good motor function present below neurological level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Normal Function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Frankel’s Grading system to assess the neurological deficit
Table II: Results Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Age: Mean age 31.32 years (19-60 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19-30 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41-50 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51-60 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Sex Ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Mode of Injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Fall from Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fall from railway coach</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fall from overhead lofts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fall from ladders and stairs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fall from unprotected roofs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Road traffic accidents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two-wheeler</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>four wheeler</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Fall while carrying heavy loads</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>53.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Profession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labourer</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-employed (shop owner, businessman)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office staff</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Family structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclear family</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joint family</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Below matriculation</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>84.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Matriculation to graduation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Post-graduation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Annual Income in Indian Rupee (INR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; Rs. 100000</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs. 100000-Rs.50000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; Rs. 50000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Time gap between Injury and presentation (Hours)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 6 hours</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>82.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 – 12 hours</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 12 hours</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>On-field Immobilisation done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>90.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Region of Traumatic spine injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsolumbar</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>44.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower lumbar</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Monetary Aid Received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Patients' awareness about the injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury can lead to permanent disability</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Think there will be complete functional recovery</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to buy well-constructed property. The other major cause of injury was due to a fall from a railway train coach, a mode not been reported earlier. Mumbai has a local railway system, which is one of the most commonly used modes of public transport. The trains are overcrowded during peak hours and hence accidents occur. Improved public transport services may decrease the load on local railway services thereby decreasing the rate of such railway accidents.

Many reports have stated that RTAs are a very common mode of traumatic spine injuries6,10, but there has been a decrease in traumatic spine injuries from RTA due to improvements in safety equipment11. In this study, RTA was responsible for 21.15% cases. Note that wearing seat belts and bicycle or motorcycle helmets without the use of air bags do not protect against cervical spine injuries. It is unfortunate to see that airbags and side impact bags are provided only in the high-end vehicles. Use of such basic road safety equipment should be made mandatory even in the basic vehicle models.

The 9 patients who sustained an injury due to fall of a heavy object, were loaders by profession who carried heavy loads on their shoulders and upper back. Any imbalance while carrying this heavy load can lead to flexion compression or a flexion distraction injury of the cervical spine. This points to the need for more mechanised loading and shifting facilities at various work sites.

We found no studies that mention the social background of patients affected with traumatic spine injuries. Most young patients fell at their work places or while commuting to or from their place of employment and most were poor, little literate Mumbai natives living with nuclear families. In this study, 43 (82.69%) patients presented to this tertiary centre within 6 hours of injury, an observation that is comparable to similar studies from other developing countries12, but lower than results seen from developed countries13. No Indian literature was found on similar lines. This lower timeframe to presenting at the hospital may be due to helpful bystanders who quickly move the victims to a hospital following an accident in a public place.

Considering these epidemiological and social factors in patients with traumatic spine injuries, there is a need to improve safety measures at workplaces, rail and road transport systems. Although transportation facilities have evolved and improved over the years, we found that lay individuals remain unaware of how to handle patients with traumatic spine injuries. The concept of on the field resuscitation, in-line immobilisation, use of spine boards, log-roll or lift and slide techniques and the potential risk of permanent neurological deficit due to improper handling are not discussed as part of public education through mass media. In our study, spine immobilisation was used in only 5 (9.61%) patients and only 3 (5.76%) patients were given primary treatment such as intravenous fluids and urinary catheterisation before they presented to us. Similar findings were reported in other studies from developing countries14,15.

Among patients with traumatic spine injuries, a majority had a cervical spine injury (48.07%) followed by dorsolumbar injuries (44.23%). We saw the majority of Frankel's grade A injury in patients with cervical spine injury, similar to earlier reports6.

Ignorance about traumatic spine injuries is evident from the fact that only 15 (28.84%) patients were aware that this injury can lead to permanent disability and that all patients in this study thought that following definitive treatment, there would be complete functional recovery. This also underscores the need to improve the doctor-patient communication. Doctors themselves should be aware of this public unawareness about traumatic spine injuries. A diligent attempt must be made to increase the lay public's awareness about traumatic spine injuries and the importance of primary treatment in such cases. These measures will go a long way to decrease the burden of traumatic spine injuries in society. Most patients had to bear treatment expenses themselves while some received partial aid with payment. Cost of these injuries includes expenses for diagnostics, surgical and rehabilitation treatment14. Considering this fact, there is now an emergence of government sponsored insurance for economically disadvantaged individuals in parts of India, including the locale of this study.

CONCLUSION

Traumatic spine injuries affect primarily the active, young, and productive population. Injury mechanisms include falls from height such as railway coaches, road traffic accidents and slipping while carrying heavy loads. Efforts are needed towards better and more affordable safety measures in housing facilities for the impoverished as well as railway and roadway systems. Use of seat belts and bicycle and motorcycle helmets do not protect against cervical spine injuries and resultant neurological deficits. There is also a need for improvements in transportation facilities and mechanised loading facilities at workplaces. An earnest attempt should be made to improve public awareness about traumatic spine injuries including severity of injury, appropriate handling techniques including basic primary treatment and prognosis after definitive final treatment. The number of paramedical personnel should be increased and they should be more available to administer primary treatment. A stronger social services network is needed to improve and speed rehabilitation of patients with traumatic spine injuries with neurological deficits.
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